

**Bhadra Project (Modification to irrigate Harapanahalli and Hadagali taluks.)**

**Q.—267. Sri SIRASAPPA IJARI** (Harapanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the modification in the Bhadra Project (Lakkavalli) to irrigate Harapanahalli and Hadagali taluks;

(b) whether they have sanctioned the extension of the length of the canal;

(c) if not, whether they will immediately scrutinise and sanction modification to irrigate in the above taluks?

**A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA** (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) Modifications are yet to be finalised.

(b) No.

(c) Will be considered.

**Industrial Concern for Hosakote and Anekal Towns.**

**Q.—275. Sri H. T. PUTTAPPA** (Hosakote-Anekal—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the residents of Hosakote and Anekal Towns have requested for the establishment of an industrial concern in each of their towns;

(b) if so, the reason for not yet establishing the said factories?

**A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA** (Minister for Industries).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise in view of the answer to (a).

**Electricity for I.P. sets in Gudibanda and Bagepalli Taluks.**

**Q.—434. Sri B. V. NARAYANAREDDY** (Bagepalli-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether any representation has been made for supply of electricity for I.P. sets in Gudibanda and Bagepalli taluks;

(b) whether they have supplied power for I.P. sets; if so, how many;

(c) the reasons for delay?

**A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA** (Minister for Industries).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

Bagepalli Taluk ... 8 Pumps  
Gudibanda Taluk ... 15 Pumps  
(figures to end of September 1955).

(c) There has been no avoidable delay. As the abovementioned areas are in the 25 cycle zone, it has not been possible to sanction more estimates.

**Board of Cardamom.**

**Q.—593. Srimathi B. L. SUBBAMMA** (Chikmagalur-Mudigere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the cardamom growers have requested them to establish a Board of Cardamom;

(b) whether they have requested the Central Government in this matter;

(c) whether they are aware of the propaganda in regard to the cardamom and pepper trade made in foreign countries by the Trade Commissioners and Trade Agents?

**A.—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA** (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) No.

**Electricity (Supply for I.P. sets to certain places in Pavagada Taluk.)**

**Q.—665. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIAH** (Pavagada-Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of petitions received from the raiyats of Konde Thimmanahalli, Arsikere, Mangalavada,

Jangamanahalli and Hosahalli in Nidagal Hobli, Pavagada taluk from 1953 to 1955 praying for the supply of electricity for lift irrigation;

(b) i) how many have deposited the amount; (ii) since how long the money is in deposit; (iii) the amount so deposited; (iv) whether any estimate has been prepared; (v) if so, the cost of the estimate; and (vi) their objections for not sanctioning the same so far?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA  
(Minister for Industries).—

(a) One.

(b) (i) Ninety.

(ii) For the last two years.

(iii) Rs. 3,240.

(iv) No.

(v) Does not arise in view of answer to (iv).

(vi) The cost exceeds the prescribed limit of Rs. 1,000 per H. P.

### MYSORE REVISION OF STATE LAWS BILL, 1954.

#### *Motion to consider.*

\*Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO  
(Minister for Law and Education).—  
Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Mysore Revision of State Laws Bill, 1954, as reported by the Joint Select Committee be taken into consideration.”

I will read the report of the Joint Select Committee.

“We the members of the Joint Select Committee, to which the Mysore Revision of State Laws Bill, 1954, was referred, have considered the several clauses of the Bill and have the honour to submit the following report:—

We met six times. We considered in detail the provisions of the several Acts mentioned in the First and Second Schedules.

We have provided that the Short titles of the several Acts which do not indicate that they are Mysore Acts may clearly specify that the Acts in question are Mysore Acts.

We have also provided that in the case of enactments which

empower the Government to grant exemptions from certain provisions of the Act, a notification granting such exemption should be laid before the State Legislature and should be subject to such modifications which the Legislature may make. Even in respect of enactments which are not mentioned in the Second Schedule but where such a power of exemption is available to Government, we are of the view that the Legislature should have the right to modify and we have therefore included several such enactments in the Second Schedule.

For sections 19 and 20 of the Mysore Civil Courts Act we have substituted two new clauses relating to the imposition of penalties and the procedure for such imposition in respect of Judicial Officers. This will secure the independence of the Judiciary and at the same time afford the officer concerned safeguards against unjust or arbitrary punishments.

We have also sought to bring several provisions of the Acts referred to in Second Schedule in conformity with the Constitution.

In our opinion the Bill has not been so altered as to require circulation.”

I may submit, Sir, that the Committee has very carefully gone through the entire Bill and I thank them for the best co-operation that was accorded. I submit, Sir, that this may be considered by this House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

“That the Mysore Revision of State Laws Bill, 1954, as reported by the Joint Select Committee be taken into consideration.”

\*Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR  
(T.-Narsipur).—Sir, I welcome the Bill. I hasten to congratulate the members of the Select Committee for the work they have turned out. It is a very huge Bill and really they must have had a lot of patience to go through every Act and then propose amendments wherever they are in antagonism to the Constitution of India or any